ADDENDUM NO. 1

PROJECT: GOLDENWEST COLLEGE
Exterior Lighting Efficiency Implementation
RFP NO: 2037

NOTICE TO BIDDERS ON THE ABOVE PROJECT:

This addendum forms a part of the contract documents and modifies the original bidding documents as noted below. Please acknowledge the receipt of this addendum in the space provided on the bid proposal. Failure to do so may subject the bidder to disqualification.

This addendum consists of:

PRE-BID RFI’S

1. Question – “In RFP #2037, Section II.E it states any substitution or exceptions request must be submitted in writing to CCCD during the formal question and answer period. Have there been any formal written requests for a substitution of the identified Cooper lighting system made during the official question and answer period?”
   Answer – Autobahn Series ATBO has been submitted. District to make final determination in the review process.

2. Question – “Please clarify how the exterior lights are currently controlled?”
   Answer – The lights are currently controlled by an Energy Management System, the current system will be used to make the new lights Title 24 compliant, and this will be coordinated between the campus and the campuses controls vendor. No motion sensors or photocells/eyes are required to be installed by contractor.

3. Question – “Is this the same method of control that should be implemented after the fixture replacements?”
   Answer – Yes.

4. Question – “If the lights are controlled by a timer, is it an astronomical time-switch?”
   Answer – See question #2.

5. Question – “If the lights are controlled by a timer and it is not an astronomical time-switch, do you need one installed and included in the proposal?”
   Answer – See question #2.

6. Question – “Do the new fixtures need to have occupancy/motion sensors?”
   Answer – No. See question #2.

7. Question – “Do the new fixtures need to have photocells?”
   Answer – No. See question #2.
8. Question – “For the job to be Title 24 compliant, if the poles are above 24 feet, the fixture needs to have either an astronomical time-switch or photocell. If the poles are below 24 feet they need to have a motion sensor in addition to the photocell/time switch control. Please clarify how you would like the fixture controlled?”
   Answer – See question #2.

9. Question – “Will pole refurbishment be considered by the district or just pole replacement?”
   Answer – The District will consider all options on a best value basis.

10. Question – “Will any underground wire need to be replaced?”
    Answer – Underground wire will be replaced on an as needed basis.

11. Question – “Will an underground pull box need to be installed where exposed conduit or fittings are feeding existing poles?”
    Answer – Underground pull boxes will be installed as required to be code compliant.

12. Question – “There are missing covers on some bases. Is it the contractor’s responsibility to replace these?”
    Answer – Contractor is responsible for replacing missing covers.

13. Question – “Exhibit A says new poles to match existing style, shape and height. Existing poles are all steel. Do new poles need to be steel?”
    Answer – Any pole submitted must be able to use existing base, bold pattern and match existing height. District has final approval on all submittals.

14. Question – “Is this a DSA job? Do you want the job to be title 24 compliant?”
    Answer – This is not a DSA submitted project. Yes it must be Title 24 compliant.

15. Question – “With regards to the keeping of the pole bases, concrete, and cutting of the existing pole bases. The bolts in the concrete as they are at grade level or below they may be corroded beyond usage. Also the footing design may not be current standards. We need existing footing design with dimensions. Depth, rebar placement, and bolt length.”
    Answer – Existing footing do NOT need to be upgraded since it is a like for like replacement using existing bases.

16. Question – “With the above information will the college be responsible if during the process the bolts are damaged, base may be breaking down?”
    Answer – Any unforeseen conditions will be addressed by the Campus and Contractor as they arise.

17. Question – “Overall our concern is that we don’t feel that anybody will warrantee the pole installation with the existing bases and bolts.”
    Answer – Contractor to submit proposal based on the requested solution. Contractor may submit an alternate option for District review.
18. Question – “I noticed on several notes on the plan pages referring to low light levels, lights out, and some placements. Will you need to address these issues?”
Answer – Per the RFP, light levels must meet 1ft candle after installation. A random sampling will be conducted by contractor and provided to Campus upon completion of installation.

19. Question – “On the note about the poles, it also says “refurbish” what do they mean? Paint?”
Answer – Contractor may want to submit proposal to galvanize existing poles, bid is awarded on a best value basis.

20. Question – “As for the base bid, we just are R+R existing heads one for one, correct?”
Answer – Contractor should submit pricing to replace existing head with LED head based on RFP documents and submit pricing for pole replacement.

21. Question – “Will the CCCD accept proposals with alternate fixture manufacturers other than the Cooper fixture spec’d for the project?”
Answer – Any light head submitted other than the one spec’d must be on the NAM approved list prior to submission of proposal.

22. Question – “What are the pole specifications?”
Answer – Contractor is required to match existing poles and use existing bases/bolt pattern. These specifications are not included. Contractor must determine.

All other terms and conditions remain the same.

John Eriksen, Director of Purchasing
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